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Abstract

In Euclidean space, the geodesics on a surface of revolution can be characterized by means of Clairaut’s theorem, which essentially says that the geodesics are curves of fixed angular momentum. A similar result holds for three dimensional Minkowski space for timelike geodesics on surfaces of revolution about the time axis. Here, we extend this result to consider generalizations of surfaces of revolution to those surfaces generated by any one-parameter subgroup of the Lorentz group. We also observe that the geodesic flow in this case is easily seen to be a completely integrable system, and give the explicit formulae for the timelike geodesics.

1 Introduction

The change of signature from Euclidean to Minkowskian geometry results in a fascinating interplay between the two forms of geometry: there a formal algebraic similarity in many aspects of the geometry, coupled to important differences between the two, especially in global situations. The lecture notes of López [1], for example, provide a detailed consideration of many of the aspects of three dimensional Minkowski space. The differences arise in various way, and we will here be concerned with some of the consequences of the
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fact that vectors in Minkowski space can be classified as timelike, null, or spacelike by means of the inner product.

In a previous work [2] we considered the situation with the closest analogy to the Euclidean situation, namely that of the timelike geodesics on surfaces obtained by rotating a timelike curve about the $t$-axis in Minkowski space. There are, of course, other types of revolution in Minkowski space [3], and in this work we will extend our consideration to these other classes of surface.

We will begin in Section 2 by briefly reviewing Clairaut’s theorem in the Euclidean case (for a more detailed exposition, see, for example, Pressley’s text [4], and the situation for rotations about the $t$-axis in Minkowski space. In Section 3 we see how there are three different types of one parameter subgroup of the three dimensional Lorentz group, giving rise to three different class of surface of revolution. In Section 4 we establish an analogue of Clairaut’s theorem in each case. Finally, in Section 5, we note that the geodesics are, in each case, determined by a completely integrable system of equations, and obtain the explicit formulae for the geodesics in terms of quadratures.

## 2 Clairaut’s Theorem

We begin by setting up some notation, which will be useful in both the Euclidean and the Minkowskian cases. For reference, we will equip Euclidean space with coordinates $(x, y, z)$, the standard basis $\{e_x, e_y, e_z\}$ and the metric $dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2$, and Minkowski space with coordinates $(x, y, t)$, the standard basis $\{e_x, e_y, e_t\}$ and metric $dx^2 + dy^2 - dt^2$.

Let $\Sigma$ be a surface of revolution, obtained by rotating the curve $x = \rho(u), y = 0, z = h(u)$ about the $z$-axis, where we assume that $\rho > 0$ and that $\rho'(u)^2 + h'(u)^2 = 1$. Then $\Sigma$ is parameterized by

$$x(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix} \rho(u) \cos(v) \\ \rho(u) \sin(v) \\ h(u) \end{bmatrix}$$

and has first fundamental form

$$I = \begin{bmatrix} x_u \cdot x_u & x_u \cdot x_v \\ x_v \cdot x_u & x_v \cdot x_v \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho(u)^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$ 

We also have $x_u = n_u$ and $x_v = \rho n_v$, where $n_u$ is the unit vector pointing along meridians of $\Sigma$ and $n_v$ is the unit vector pointing along parallels of $\Sigma$. Since $n_u \cdot n_v = 0$, the two form an
orthonormal basis for the tangent plane at each point, and an arbitrary unit tangent vector vector $\mathbf{t}$ is therefore of the form $\mathbf{n}_u \cos \theta + \mathbf{n}_v \sin \theta$ where $\theta$ is the angle between $\mathbf{t}$ and $\mathbf{n}_u$.

From the first fundamental form, we have the Lagrangian

$$\dot{u}^2 + \rho^2 \dot{v}^2$$

and so the Euler-Lagrange equations, whose solutions are arc-length parameterised geodesics, are

$$\ddot{u} = \rho \rho' \dot{v}^2$$

$$\frac{d}{ds} (\rho^2 \dot{v}) = 0.$$

But now, if $\gamma(s)$ is a geodesic given by $(u(s), v(s))$ we also have

$$\dot{\gamma} = i \mathbf{n}_u + \rho \dot{v} \mathbf{n}_v = \mathbf{n}_u \cos \theta + \mathbf{n}_v \sin \theta$$

where $\theta$ is the angle between $\dot{\gamma}$ and a meridian.

Equating the components of $\mathbf{n}_v$ in the latter two expressions, we see that $\rho \dot{v} = \sin \theta$, so that $\rho^2 \dot{v} = \rho \sin \theta$, and hence the second Euler-Lagrange equation is equivalent to $\rho^2 \sin \theta$ being a constant along $\gamma$.

Conversely, if $\gamma$ is a unit speed curve with $\rho \sin \theta$ constant, the second Euler-Lagrange equation is immediately satisfied: differentiating $\dot{u}^2 + \rho^2 \dot{v}^2 = 1$ and substituting this into the second Euler-Lagrange equation yields the first Euler-Lagrange equation.

This establishes Clairaut’s theorem, and we observe in passing that all meridians are geodesics.

If we now replace the $z$-axis of Euclidean space by the $t$-axis of three dimensional Minkowski space, the parameterization of the surface and the form of argument are formally almost identical, where we take $\rho'(u)^2 - h'(u)^2 = -1$ so that the generating curve is timelike, resulting in a surface of revolution whose induced metric is Lorentzian.

We now have orthogonal unit timelike and space-like vector $\mathbf{n}_u$ and $\mathbf{n}_v$ given by $\mathbf{x}_u = \mathbf{n}_u$ and $\mathbf{x}_v = \rho \mathbf{n}_v$ respectively, so that a unit timelike tangent vector is given by $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{n}_u \cosh(\theta) + \mathbf{n}_v \sinh(\theta)$, where $\theta$ is the hyperbolic angle between $\mathbf{t}$ and $\mathbf{n}_u$. Repeating the previous argument then tells us that timelike geodesics in the surface of revolution are characterised by the constant $\rho^2 \sinh(\theta) = \rho \dot{v}^2$.

Note in passing that if we were to choose a spacelike generating curve with $\rho'(u)^2 + h'(u)^2 = 1$, the metric induced on the tangent plane is Riemannian, and the situation for spacelike geodesics then becomes identical to that the Euclidean case.
3 Surfaces of Revolution in Minkowski Space

In the previous section we considered surfaces invariant under the action of a one-parameter subgroup of the symmetry group of space, which in each case was isomorphic to $S^1$, and could be regarded as the usual rotations about the origin in surfaces of constant $z$, or $t$, according as we consider the Euclidean or Minkowskian case. In the Euclidean case, there is no other possibility: all one parameter subgroups of $SO(3)$ are of this form, and by an appropriate choice of coordinates consist of rotations fixing the $z$-axis. The situation is, however, rather different in the Minkowskian case.

Let us denote by $\Lambda$ an arbitrary member of the Lorentz group $SO(2,1)$, and by $\Lambda(s)$ a curve in $SO(2,1)$ such that $\Lambda(0)$ is the identity. Differentiating the relationship $\Lambda(s)^T \eta \Lambda(s) = \eta$, where $\eta = \text{diag}(1,1,-1)$ and setting $s = 0$ we find that $\lambda = \Lambda(0)$ must be of the form

$$\lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha & \beta \\ -\alpha & 0 & \gamma \\ \beta & \gamma & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

For any choice of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ this matrix has zero determinant, and so an eigenvector of eigenvalue 0. It follow then that the corresponding one parameter subgroup of $SO(2,1)$ given by $\exp(v\lambda)$ fixes some line pointwise, and so can be regarded as a one parameter group of rotations with this line as axis of rotation.

We observe that the vector $e_t$ is an eigenvector with eigenvalue 0 if $\beta = \gamma = 0$, and so we can take

$$\lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

giving

$$\exp(v\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(v) & \sin(v) & 0 \\ -\sin(v) & \cos(v) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

so that this case is the previously considered one of rotations about the $t$-axis.

Similarly, $e_y$ is an eigenvector with eigenvalue 0 if $\alpha = \gamma = 0$ so that we can take

$$\lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and so $\exp(v\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \cosh(v) & 0 & \sinh(v) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sinh(v) & 0 & \cosh(v) \end{bmatrix}$
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For convenience we denote by $B_y$ the group of boosts fixing the $y$-axis, and so in the $x$-direction, and let $B_y(v) = \exp(s\lambda)$.

Finally, $e_y + e_t$ is an eigenvector with eigenvalue 0 if $\alpha = -\beta, \gamma = 0$, giving

$$\lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and so $\exp(v\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & v & -v \\ -v & 1 - v^2/2 & v^2/2 \\ -v & -v^2/2 & 1 + v^2/2 \end{bmatrix}$

Similarly to the previous case, denote by $B_n$ the group of null rotations fixing the axis given by $e_y + e_t$, and let $B_n(v) = \exp(v\lambda)$.

Since every vector is spacelike, null, or timelike, any other one parameter subgroup of $SO(2,1)$ can be put into this form by an appropriate choice of axes. We therefore consider those surfaces invariant under the action of each of these groups which have a Lorentz metric induced on them, and will consider the timelike geodesics on each surface.

We omit the case of the timelike axis of rotation, as that has been considered already. This leaves the two cases of a spaceline axis of rotation (corresponding to the one parameter group of boosts $B_y$) and a null axis of rotation (corresponding to the one parameter group of null rotations $B_n$).

### 3.1 Surfaces of revolution about a spacelike axis of rotation

Now, let $(x,y,t)$ be a point on a surface, $\Sigma$ invariant under the action of $B_y$.

If $|x| < |t|$ then the orbit of $B_y$ on which $(x,y,t)$ lies is spacelike, and intersects the $y-t$ plane at some unique value of $s$. Assume for the moment that $\Sigma$ lies entirely in the region $|x| < |t|$. Then the intersection $\Sigma$ with the plane $x = 0$ is a generating curve for $\Sigma$. The tangent to any orbit is orthogonal to $x = 0$ at the point of intersection; the tangent plane to $\Sigma$ at the point of intersection cannot be spacelike, and so neither can the tangent to the generating curve (since any vector space spanned by orthogonal spacelike vectors is itself spacelike). Thus $\Sigma$ is generated by a timelike curve in $x = 0$, given by $(0,\rho(u),h(u))$ with $u$ chosen so that $h'(u)^2 - \rho'(u)^2 = 1$.

By the same type of argument, if $|x| > |t|$ the orbits are timelike, and assuming that $\Sigma$ lies in the region, $\Sigma$ is generated by a spacelike curve lying in $t = 0$, which we take to be given by $(\rho(u),h(u),0)$ where now $\rho'(u)^2 + h'(u)^2 = 1$.

There are therefore two simple classes of surfaces of revolution generated by $B_y$, one confined to the region $|x| < |t|$, and the other to the region $|x| > |t|$. One has a timelike generating curve in the $y-t$ plane, and the other
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has a spacelike generating curve in the \(x - y\) plane. The first fundamental forms of these two (in terms of the coordinate \((u,v)\)) are, respectively
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^2(u)
\end{bmatrix}
\quad \text{and} \quad
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -\rho^2(u)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

### 3.2 Surfaces of revolution about a null axis of rotation

Again, let \((x,y,t)\) be a point on a surface, \(\Sigma\), which is now invariant under the action of \(B_n\). This time we assume that the surface does not intersect the plane \(y = t\). Each point on \(\Sigma\) lies on a curve passing through the \(y - t\) plane, and so \(\Sigma\) is generated by a curve in the \(y - t\) plane. In this case the orbits are spacelike, and so the generating curve must be timelike. We take it to be of the form \((0, q(u), h(u))\) where \(h'(u)^2 - q'(u)^2 = 1\). The fundamental form is now
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^2(u)
\end{bmatrix}
\]
where \(\rho(u) = q(u) - h(u)\).

### 4 Generalizations of Clairaut’s Theorem

Now, the general point on any of our surfaces is given by \(x(u,v)\), which is the image under the appropriate group element with parameter \(v\) of the generating curve element with parameter \(u\). A curve \(\gamma\) on the surface is given by a curve \((u(s), v(s))\), and we can assume that the parameter is chosen so that \(\gamma\) is parameterised by proper time.

In each case, the Lagrangian is given by
\[
\pm \frac{1}{2}(-1 + \rho(u)^2 \dot{v}^2)
\]
which is independent of \(v\), so that \(\rho^2(u)\dot{v}\) is a conserved quantity along the geodesic.

But we also have in each case
\[
x_u = n_u, \quad x_v = \rho n_v
\]
where \(n_u\) and \(n_v\) are orthogonal unit vectors pointing along meridians and parallels respectively.

Furthermore, differentiating \(\gamma\) gives
\[
\dot{\gamma}(s) = n_u \dot{u} + n_v \rho \dot{v} = n_u \cosh(\theta) + n_v \sinh(\theta)
\]
where $\theta$ is the hyperbolic angle between the tangent to $\gamma$ and the meridian.

We therefore see that in every case the quantity $\rho \sinh(\theta)$ is conserved along every timelike geodesic, where $\theta$ is the hyperbolic angle between the geodesic and a meridian.

It is then a simple algebraic exercise to check that conservation of this quantity along a curve parameterised by proper time implies that the curve is a timelike geodesic, completing the analogue of Clairaut’s theorem in each case.

It thus follows that for all three classes of surface of revolution, whether generated by rotations, boosts, or null rotations, Clairaut’s theorem holds in the sense that the quantity $\rho \sinh(\theta)$ determines the timelike geodesics on the surface.

5 Integrability

Finally we note that in each case we have two conserved quantities, namely the Lagrangian and $\rho \sinh(\theta)$. Re-expressing this in terms of the Hamiltonian formalism, the conserved quantities are $p_v$ and $H$, the Hamiltonian; since all conserved quantities Poisson commute with the Hamiltonian, and the two are functionally independent, we know from the Arnol’d-Liouville theorem [5] that the geodesics can in principle be expressed in terms of quadratures.

In this case, we have

$$-\dot{u}^2 + \rho(u)^2 \dot{v}^2 = \pm 1, \quad \rho(u)^2 \dot{v} = L$$

where the sign in the first equation is positive in the case of the $B_y$-invariant surface generated by a spacelike curve, and negative otherwise, and $L$ is the value of the conserved quantity specifying a particular geodesic.

From the conserved quantity, we obtain

$$\dot{v} = L/\rho(u)^2$$

so that

$$\dot{u}^2 = L^2/\rho(u)^2 \mp 1$$

giving

$$\int \frac{du}{\sqrt{L^2/\rho(u)^2 \mp 1}} = ds.$$ 

This gives (in principle) $u(s)$, so that we can finally obtain $v(s)$ from

$$v = \int \frac{L}{\rho(u(s))^2} ds.$$
6 Conclusion

We have shown that the geometrical analogy between Euclidean and Minkowski space obtained by replacing the trigonometric functions by hyperbolic ones also extends to Clairaut’s theorem, not only in the obvious case of surfaces generated by rotations about the time axis, but also in the cases of surfaces generated by boosts and null rotations. We also find the geodesics on such a surface of rotation in terms of quadratures.
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